



Students: Indrani Chattopadhyay¹, Steven Matile², Sreeram Anantharaman³ Advisors: Wei Zhang, Ph.D., P.E.⁵, Nalini Ravishanker, Ph.D.⁶, Ramesh Malla, Ph.D., F. ASCE, F. EMI⁷

Introduction

- Accumulation of floating large woody debris caused almost $\frac{3}{4}$ of bridge failures in the United States.
- Assessing the potential for major debris formation and its effects is essential for mitigating bridge failure risks and enhancing the resilience of future bridge design to withstand severe weather events.



Figure 1. Debris-related scour damage to bridges

Methodology

- The potential for debris generation is projected based on the susceptibility of upstream trees to windthrow or landslide events, combined with their relative proximity to the river.
- Debris entrapment probability and dimensions predicted considering a conical cross section.
- The scour is computed from the HEC-18 guide and compared to the foundation depth.
- Monte Carlo simulation is used to evaluate the **bridge** vulnerability under different conditions.
- Case study conducted for a bridge in Vermont under Hurricane Irene (~100-year return period).



Figure 2. (left) Schematic diagram of debris accumulation around bridge pier Ref: Cantero-Chinchilla et al., "Assessing the effect of debris accumulation at river bridges", University of Southampton]

- 1. Ph.D. Student, Civil Engineering, indrani.chattopadhyay@uconn.edu
- 2. Ph.D. Student, Civil Engineering, steven.matile@uconn.edu
- 3. Ph.D. Student, Statistics, sreeram.anantharaman@uconn.edu
- 4. Associate Professor, Civil Engineering, wzhang@uconn.edu
- 5. Professor, Statistics, nalini.ravishanker@uconn.edu
- 6. Professor, Civil Engineering, ramesh.malla@uconn.edu



University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT.

Wind speed (m/s)

are

VERMONT AGENCY OF TRANSPORTATION







Results

- The most debris-prone areas are highlighted (Figure 4) and the distribution of debris size is predicted (Figure
- Sensitivity analysis is conducted for different debris size (Figure 6) and different flow conditions with varying foundation depths (Figure 7).
- of debris consideration is • The importance highlighted: without debris, risk is underestimated.



0.35 0.25 0.2 ٥.15 0.15

Figure 4. *Tree debris* generation probabilities

Transportation Infrastructure Durability Center **AT THE UNIVERSITY OF MAINE**









Maximum debris length (m)

Figure 5. *Predicted debris* lengths for Irene



debris size

Conclusions

Future Work

- To further validate and calibrate bridge safety.

Acknowledgements: US DOT Region 1 UTC - Transportation Infrastructure Durability Center (TIDC) under grant 69A3551847101 from the U.S. Department of Transportation's University Transportation Centers program and the University of Connecticut for funding. Thanks are due also to following organizations for in-kind support: Vermont Transportation Agency and Maine Department of Transportation. November 2023 – www.tidc-utc.org

TIDC ANNUAL POSTER COMPETITON 2023

with/without debris

• Sensitivity analysis shows that 25m and 20m debris size causes 100% failure at a very lower water velocity (4-5m/s) compared to other debris size (5-15 m).

• When the foundation depth reduces probability of failure increases more rapidly for the higher flow rate.

• When the flow rate remains constant (e.g., Irene, 262 m³/s) & the foundation depth decreases, for the debris incorporated water probability of failure increases more

rapidly (reaches 100% at foundation depth 3m) compared to the virgin water (reaches around 45%).

model using empirical data and machine learning to predict and highlight effects of combined debris and scour on

• Will be expanded as a tool to inform decisions related to closing bridges before storms.